

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/658,425	09/10/2003	Masatoshi Anma	242614US2	3184
22850	7590 10/29/2004		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			HU, SHOUXIANG	
	UKE STREET ANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2811	
			DATE MAILED: 10/29/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/658,425	ANMA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Shouxiang Hu	2811			
The MAILING E Period for Reply	OATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be a after SIX (6) MONTHS from - If the period for reply specific If NO period for reply is spec - Failure to reply within the set	OF THIS COMMUNICATION. vailable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 the mailing date of this communication. ad above is less than thirty (30) days, a replified above, the maximum statutory period vit or extended period for reply will, by statute fice later than three months after the mailing	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE g date of this communication, even if timely filed	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to o	communication(s) filed on 16 A	ugust 2004.				
2a) ☐ This action is FI						
3) Since this applie	·—					
Disposition of Claims						
4a) Of the above 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3</u> 7) ☐ Claim(s)		n from consideration.	·			
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification	is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
, ,	, ,	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	• •			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119					
a) All b) Sor 1. Certified of 2. Certified of 3. Copies of	ne * c) None of: copies of the priority document copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive	ion No			
* See the attached	detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.			
Attachment(s)	_					
	Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) atement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 3-6, 8 and 10-14 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on August 16, 2004.

In addition, claims 7 and 9 which are directed to a method are also withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being unreadable on in the elected invention of Group I that is directed to a device.

2. Applicant's election with Species I of Group I invention in the above reply is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the field of search for the various species is overlapping and includes a handful of sub-classes. This is not found persuasive as explained below.

The subject matters of either of the device claims and the method claims in the instant disclosure includes substantially multiple distinctive variations as identified in the species election requirement set forth in the previous office action. And, the field relevant to these subject matters is a very active one, which includes tens of thousands patent references for each of Class 257 (device) and Class 438 (method), along with many foreign references. As a result, search and consideration for each of the identified distinctive species for each of the distinctive Groups would impose substantially undue

Application/Control Number: 10/658,425 Page 3

Art Unit: 2811

burden on the examiner. Nevertheless, it is reassured that, upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Accordingly, claims 1-14 are pending in this application; and claims 1 and 2 remain active in this Office action.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 1 and 2, as being supported by the elected species, are objected to because of the following informalities and/or defects:

Claim 1 recites the term of "a lower electrode" of the recited capacitor; but, as shown in the specification and drawings (especially Fig. 2), the recited "electric conductor" (14) is also a part of the lower electrode of the capacitor.

In addition, it is noted that the file 14 in the elected species of Figs. 2-8 is formed of ruthenium oxide, which is a conductor, not "an insulator". Accordingly, the recited term of "an insulator" in claim 1 is not fully supported by the elected species.

In claim 1, the term of "a material" should read as: --a chemical element--.

Appropriate correction is requested.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Application/Control Number: 10/658,425 Page 4

Art Unit: 2811

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claim 1, as being best understood in view of the claim objections above, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Han (US 2002/0011620).

Han disclose a semiconductor device including a capacitor (see the cover page figure), comprising: a lower electrode (28; Ta) which has an upper surface and a side surface and has an opening on the upper surface; an electric conductor (29, TaN) provided at least in the vicinity of an entry in the opening; a dielectric film (32a) of the capacitor facing the upper surface and the side surface of the lower electrode; and an upper electrode (34).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2811

7. Claim 2, as being best understood in view of the above claim objections, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Han in view of Liao et al. ("Liao"; US 2002/0022321).

The disclosure of Han is discussed as applied to claim 1 above.

Although Han does not expressly disclose that the capacitor structure can be further extended to the outer sidewalls of the lower electrode, one of ordinary skill in the art would readily recognize that such extension is desirable for increase the capacitance of the capacitor, as evidenced in Liao (see the lower electrode 630a in Fig. 10, the capacitor therein has an increased capacitance).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention to make the device of Han with the effective capacitive area being extended from the inner sidewalls to the outer sidewalls of the lower electrode, per the teachings of Liao, so that a memory device with increased capacitance in the capacitor would be obtained. And, in such a collectively taught device, the electric conductor which exists in the inner sidewalls would be naturally extended to the outer sidewall of the lower electrode via the top of the lower the electrode.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. References C and D are cited as being related to a capacitor structure.

Application/Control Number: 10/658,425

Art Unit: 2811

to 6:00 PM.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shouxiang Hu whose telephone number is 571-272-1654. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, 7:30 AM

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie C. Lee can be reached on 571-272-1732. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SH

October 22, 2004 Sacrane

SHOUXIANG HU PRIMARY EXAMINER